

REMARKS

Claims 1-8 are pending. By this Amendment, claims 1 and 6 are amended. No new matter is added. Reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested.

Applicants appreciate the courtesies shown to Applicants' representatives by Examiner Tran in the May 23 personal interview. Applicants' separate record of the substance of the interview is incorporated into the following remarks.

The Office Action rejects claims 1-8 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over U.S. Patent No. 5,860,074 to Rowe et al. (Rowe) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,813,746 to O'Shea. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 1 recites, *inter alia*, displaying a thumbnail corresponding to an original document and including an enhancement in appearance as displayed on the thumbnail, and displaying a first version of the original document, at least a portion of the first version corresponding to the enhancement being more similar in appearance to a corresponding portion of the thumbnail than a corresponding portion of the original document.

As discussed during the interview, an example of these features is shown in Figs. 12-14. That is, a thumbnail shown in Fig. 13 corresponds to an original document shown in a document as shown in Fig. 12 and includes an enhancement, such as a word "Xerox," as shown in the left upper corner of the thumbnail. As a request for displaying the document is made, a first version of the original document, as shown in Fig. 14, which includes the word "Xerox", is displayed. Because of the word "Xerox," which did not appear in the original document shown in Fig. 12, the displayed (first) version of the original document is "more similar" in appearance to a portion of the thumbnail corresponding to the enhancement than a corresponding portion of the original document.

As discussed and agreed to during the interview, none of the applied references teaches or suggests these features. As such, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 1 is patentable over the applied references.

Claim 6 recites a controller that displays a thumbnail image corresponding to an original document and including an enhancement in appearance, and displays a first version of the original document, at least a portion of the first version corresponding to the enhancement being more similar in appearance to a corresponding portion of the thumbnail than a corresponding portion of the original document.

Similar to claim 1, none of the applied references teaches or suggests this feature. As such, claim 6 is patentable over the applied references.

Claims 2-5, 7 and 8 are patentable at least for their dependence on claims 1 and 6, respectively, as well as for the additional features they recite.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance of the application are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,



James A. Oliff
Registration No. 27,075

Klifton L. Kime
Registration No. 42,733

JAO:KXH

Date: June 6, 2006

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC
P.O. Box 19928
Alexandria, Virginia 22320
Telephone: (703) 836-6400

DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE AUTHORIZATION Please grant any extension necessary for entry; Charge any fee due to our Deposit Account No. 15-0461
--